

**REGULAR MEETING
OF THE
PUTNAM COUNTY LEGISLATURE
HELD VIA AUDIO WEBINAR PURSUANT TO TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDERS**

Tuesday July 7, 2020 7:00 P.M.

The meeting was called to order at 7:04 P.M. by Chairwoman Addonizio who led in the Pledge of Allegiance and Legislative Prayer. Upon roll call, Legislators Montgomery, Gouldman, Nacerino, Albano, Jonke, Castellano, Sayegh, Sullivan and Chairwoman Addonizio were present. Also present was Legislative Counsel Firriolo.

PROCLAMATION

Chairwoman Addonizio stated that the proclamation will be mailed to the relevant party.

PRETRIAL, PROBATION, PAROLE SUPERVISION WEEK - JULY 19-25, 2020

**WHEREAS, community corrections is an essential part of the justice system; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals uphold the law with dignity, while recognizing the right of the public to be safe-guarded from criminal activity; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals are responsible for supervising adult and juvenile offenders in the community; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals are trained professionals who provide services and referrals for offenders; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals work in partnership with community agencies and groups; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals promote prevention, intervention, and advocacy; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals provide services, support, and protection for victims; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals advocate community and restorative justice; and
WHEREAS, community corrections professionals are a true Force for Positive Change in their communities; now therefore be it
RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Executive and the Putnam County Legislature do hereby proclaim the week of July 19-25, 2020 as Pretrial, Probation, Parole Supervision Week and encourage all Putnam County residents to honor these community corrections professionals and to recognize their achievements.**

**Item #4 – Approval of Minutes – Regular Meeting – May 5, 2020
Budget & Finance Mtg – June 2, 2020**

The minutes were approved as submitted.

**Item #5 – Correspondence
a) County Auditor**

There was no activity during this reporting period.

Item #6 – Pre-filed resolutions:

**BUDGET & FINANCE COMMITTEE
(All Legislators)**

Item #6a – Approval/ 2021 Decentralized Budget Review Process for Preparation and Adoption of the 2021 County Budget was next. Chairwoman Addonizio moved the following:

RESOLUTION #110

APPROVAL/ 2021 DECENTRALIZED BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

WHEREAS, the Budget and Finance Committee has reviewed and recommended the adoption of the attached Decentralized Budget Review Process for implementation in 2020 for the 2021 budget; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature hereby adopts the attached budget review process; and be it further

RESOLVED, that pursuant to Putnam County Charter Section 7.04 the Putnam County Legislature hereby adopts and notifies the County Executive of the policy and practice guidelines contained in Section E of this Decentralized Budget Review Process/2021 to be complied with by the County Executive.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**PROTECTIVE SERVICES COMMITTEE
(Chairman Jonke, Legislators Nacerino & Sullivan)**

Item #6b – Approval/ Fund Transfer (20T099)/ Sheriff’s Department/ Temporary was next. Chairwoman Addonizio recognized Legislator Jonke, Chairman of the Protective Services Committee. On behalf of the members of the Committee, Legislators Nacerino and Sullivan, Legislator Jonke moved the following:

RESOLUTION #111

APPROVAL/ FUND TRANSFER/ SHERIFF’S DEPARTMENT/ TEMPORARY

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Sheriff has requested a fund transfer (20T099) to cover Temporary costs due to two (2) Full Time Dispatcher Vacancies; and

WHEREAS, the Protective Services Committee, the Personnel Committee and the Audit & Administration Committee have reviewed and approved said fund transfer; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following fund transfer be made:

Decrease:

13311000 51000 10102	Communications Personnel	253.44
13311000 51000 10106	Communications Personnel	<u>3,603.38</u>
		3,856.82

Increase:

13311000 51094

Communications Temporary

3,856.82

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6c – Approval/ Fund Transfer (20T103)/ Sheriff’s Department/ Communications Overtime was next. On behalf of the members of the Protective Services Committee, Legislators Nacerino and Sullivan, Legislator Jonke moved the following:

Legislator Nacerino stated that we are approving Items #6b and #6c in tandem for overtime due to vacancies of two (2) retirees.

Legislator Sullivan stated that regarding overtime, we’ve had the discussion about the dispatchers and the savings that we expect to be able to receive. He stated that he would like to ask the Sheriff if we could get an idea of how many calls that the dispatchers receive to see if it warrants all of this overtime. He stated that we are approving \$12,000 in overtime. He asked that the Clerk follow up this request with a memo to the Sheriff.

Legislator Nacerino stated that the overtime that occurred is due to the two (2) vacancies. She stated that the two (2) vacancies are dictating the need for it to be backfilled.

Legislator Sullivan stated that he understands, however, he stated that it would be interesting to see how many calls are received during certain hours of the day. He explained that he wanted to see the data that justifies the expense.

Legislator Sayegh understands Legislator Sullivan’s concerns and she too would like to see the call volume. However, she did not believe there was ever a time when you want to see your dispatcher’s seats empty. They always need to be filled in order to cover any call volume whatsoever.

Legislator Sullivan stated that of course we never want to see no dispatchers, however we always have the 911 Center that is always staffed 24/7.

Legislator Montgomery believed it was important to have the data that goes along with the budget item request. She believed this was good information to have across the board from every department. She stated that we are being asked to fund overtime for every department. She stated that she sees firsthand that every department is working a lot more, especially the Health Department and Sheriff’s Department. She stated that overtime because of vacancies is justifiable, as well as the current times we are in with COVID-19 and Black Lives Matters.

Legislator Nacerino stated that she expressed at the last Personnel Committee meeting that they will be delving into the overtime report in greater depth. She hoped to have that data more conclusively in the near future.

RESOLUTION #112

APPROVAL/ FUND TRANSFER / SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT/ COMMUNICATIONS OVERTIME

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Sheriff has requested a fund transfer (20T103) to cover Communications Overtime costs due to two (2) Dispatcher vacancies for May; and

WHEREAS, the Protective Services Committee, the Personnel Committee and the Audit & Administration Committee have reviewed and approve said fund transfer; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following fund transfer be made:

Decrease:

13311000 51000 (10102)	Communications Personnel	4,845.87
13311000 51000 (10106)	Communications Personnel	<u>3,439.59</u>
		8,285.46

Increase:

13311000 51093	Communications Overtime	8,285.46
----------------	-------------------------	----------

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6d – Approval/ Amend Resolution #99 of 2020/ Revision of Budgetary Amendment (20A022)/ Sheriff's Department/ New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Grant/ Livescan Equipment Program was next. On behalf of the members of the Protective Services Committee, Legislators Nacerino and Sullivan, Legislator Jonke moved the following:

Legislator Nacerino stated that this is a wonderful grant that we are receiving for the livescan equipment which is used to transmit prints to the Division of Criminal Justice Services.

RESOLUTION #113

APPROVAL/ AMEND RESOLUTION #99 OF 2020/ REVISION OF BUDGETARY AMENDMENT (20A022)/ SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT/ NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES (DCJS) GRANT/ LIVESCAN EQUIPMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, Resolution #99 of 2020 approved budgetary amendment (20A022) which was reviewed and approved by the Protective Services Committee at its meeting held on May 12, 2020; and

WHEREAS, a Revised budgetary amendment (20A022) was received by the Legislature on May 21, 2020 which was reviewed and approved by the Audit & Administration Committee at its meeting held on May 29, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the original budgetary amendment request Increased Appropriations in the Sheriff BCI Software & Accessories Account #32311000 54782, and the Revised changed the Increased Appropriations to the General Contingency Account #10199000 54980; and

WHEREAS, the Protective Services Committee and the Audit & Administration Committee have reviewed and approved the amendment to Resolution #99 of 2020; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that Resolution #99 of 2020 is hereby amended to reflect the following Revised budgetary amendment (20A022):

Increase Revenue:

32311000	Sheriff BCI	
443890	Public Safety Other	28,901

Increase Appropriation:

10199000	General Contingencies	
54980	General Contingencies	28,901

2020 Fiscal Impact – (28,901)

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

RULES, ENACTMENTS & INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
(Chairman Sullivan, Legislators Albano & Castellano)

Item #6e –Authorization for Intermunicipal Agreement with Westchester County to Organize and Implement Activities under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) was next. Chairwoman Addonizio recognized Legislator Sullivan, Chairman of the Rules, Enactments & Intergovernmental Relations Committee. On behalf of the members of the Committee, Legislators Albano and Castellano, Legislator Sullivan moved the following:

Legislator Nacerino stated that she is pleased to support this Intermunicipal Agreement with Westchester County, exclusive of the City of Yonkers. She stated that the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) was signed into law July 22, 2014. She stated that this program is designed to help seekers access employment, education, training and support services to succeed in the labor market and match employers with skilled workers. She stated that this legislation is designed to strengthen and improve our nations public workforce and help Americans, including youth and those with significant barriers to employment, with high quality jobs and careers. She explained that this agreement with Westchester will be extended for another five (5) years.

RESOLUTION #114

AUTHORIZATION FOR INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH WESTCHESTER COUNTY TO ORGANIZE AND IMPLEMENT ACTIVITIES UNDER THE WORKFORCE INNOVATION AND OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA)

WHEREAS, on July 22, 2014, the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (“WIOA”) was signed into law as the successor to the Workplace Investment Act, requiring the establishment of Local Workforce Development Boards (“LWDBs”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to WIOA §107(c)(1)(B), the Westchester County Executive and the Putnam County Executive are defined as “Chief Elected Officials” (“CEO”) that may “execute an agreement that specifies the respective roles of the individual chief elected officials” concerning appointment of members of the LWDBs and in carrying out any other responsibilities assigned to such officials; and

WHEREAS, the Westchester County Executive and the Putnam County Executive, after receiving approval from their respective governing bodies, entered into an intermunicipal agreement in 2015 in which Westchester and the County agreed to organize and implement activities pursuant to WIOA, as part of their request for initial designation of the Westchester-Putnam Local Workforce Development Area, which would cover Westchester County (exclusive of the City of Yonkers) and Putnam County; and

WHEREAS, the above referenced 2015 intermunicipal agreement is set to expire on June 30, 2020. Westchester’s Board of Legislators has authorized its County Executive to enter into a new intermunicipal agreement (the “IMA”) with the County to continue to organize and implement activities pursuant to WIOA in order to maintain the designation of Westchester-Putnam Workforce Development Area, as a Local Workforce Development Area, as required by WIOA to cover Westchester County (exclusive of Coty of Yonkers) and the County; and

WHEREAS, the new IMA shall commence on July 1, 2020 and continue until either, (a) both the County and Westchester act to rescind the IMA; (b) federal or state authority ceases for the Westchester-Putnam Workforce Development Area to serve as the local implementation means for job-training programs; or (c) June 30, 2025; whichever comes first; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, County Executive MaryEllen Odell is hereby authorized to enter into the new IMA with Westchester pursuant to which the County and Westchester will agree to continue to organize and implement activities pursuant to WIOA, in order to maintain the designation of Westchester-Putnam Workforce Development Area, which will cover Putnam County and Westchester County (exclusive of the City of Yonkers).

RESOLVED, that this Resolution take effect immediately.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
(Chairwoman Nacerino, Legislators Jonke & Montgomery)

Item #6f – Approval/ Standard Work Day and Reporting Resolution was next. Chairwoman Addonizio recognized Legislator Nacerino, Chairwoman of the Personnel Committee. On behalf of the members of the Committee, Legislator Jonke and Montgomery, Legislator Nacerino moved the following:

RESOLUTION #115

APPROVAL/ STANDARD WORK DAY AND REPORTING RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the New York State and Local Employees’ Retirement System requires the County to file the Standard Work Days for elected and appointed employees based on time and attendance records or the records of activities maintained and submitted by these officials to the Clerk of the Legislature, and

WHEREAS, a copy of this report was contained in the following:

- **Resolution #195 of 2010 and Resolution #286 of 2010 (one employee only).**
- **Resolution #338 of 2011 correcting Resolution #190 of 2011.**
- **Resolution #218 of 2012 correcting Resolution #155 of 2012.**
- **Resolution #143 of 2013.**
- **Resolution #168 of 2014.**
- **Resolution #150 of 2015.**
- **Resolution #148 of 2016.**
- **Resolution #156 of 2017.**
- **Resolution #244 of 2017 reflecting change made on Resolution #226 of 2014 which corrected Resolution #143 of 2013 (one employee only).**
- **Resolution #170 of 2018.**
- **Resolution #141 of 2019.**
- **Resolution #266 of 2019 correcting Resolution #141 of 2019.**

And

WHEREAS, it is now time to file the report for 2020, now therefore be it

RESOLVED that the County of Putnam hereby established the following as standard work days for the listed elected officials in schedule “A” and will report the following days worked to the New York State and Local Employees’ Retirement system based upon time and attendance records or on the record of activities maintained and submitted by these officials to the Clerk of this body.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**PHYSICAL SERVICES COMMITTEE
(Chairman Albano, Legislators Gouldman & Nacerino)**

Item #6g – Approval/SEQRA/Agricultural District was next. Chairwoman Addonizio recognized Legislator Albano, Chairman of the Physical Services Committee. On behalf of the members of the Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

Legislator Montgomery believed that the public and herself were not given the opportunity to speak at the public hearing. She explained that this concern was not based on the inclusions in the Agricultural District, but rather the process which she believed failed the public at the public hearing. She stated that information was received from individuals that wanted to speak. However, she was uneasy about moving forward and suggested that the Legislature hold another public hearing where it would be clear what the rules of engagement are, allowing everyone who wanted to the opportunity to speak. She stated that she was never been to a public hearing where the governing board was not called on to make a comment. She believed that we had plenty of opportunity in resources to have the public heard. We can even do it using this technology that we have here. She believed that we owe this to the public and should hold off on approval.

Chairwoman Addonizio stated that everyone had the opportunity to preregister if they had any comments. She explained that there was a glitch with one (1) person registered and then dropped off the call.

Legislator Montgomery stated that, as a board member, she was not allowed to speak.

Legislator Albano stated that if Legislator Montgomery had something to say, this would be a good time to say it.

Legislator Montgomery stated that we should hold another public hearing.

Legislator Albano explained that the last meeting was strictly for the public, however, if Legislator Montgomery had a comment, this would be the time to make it.

Legislator Montgomery explained that she has attended public hearings as a Legislator and a board member. She believed that the board was addressed first as we do in Committee. She stated that she was not able to comment, and neither were people in attendance who registered for the meeting.

Legislator Albano stated that what is before us is the SEQRA.

Chairwoman Addonizio stated that she read the procedures for comment the night of the public hearing. She reread part of it again which stated: "The Public Notice of this hearing contained instructions on how to submit comments to the Legislature by mail and email. The Legislature's website provided additional information on how to make comments. We will begin this Public Hearing by reading each of the comments received by the Legislature.

We will then proceed to take comments from those who have connected to the audio webinar. If you did not register in advance to speak, please call the Legislative Office now at (845) 808-1020 to let us know you want to speak. We will unmute callers one at a time and ask each one to deliver his or her comments." She believed it was made clear that evening and none of the Legislators spoke.

Legislator Albano requested a vote on the resolution.

Legislator Montgomery stated that she would be voting "no" not because of the nature of the Agricultural District, but because of the way the public hearing was held.

Legislator Albano stated that he SEQRA has nothing to do with the public hearing.

RESOLUTION #116

APPROVAL/SEQRA/AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Department of Agriculture and Markets as Lead Agency for the Agricultural Districts Program has conducted a programmatic review of the environmental effects of Agricultural Districts and has concluded that there is little likelihood of significant adverse environmental impact resulting from the formation or modification of such districts; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the County to review the site-specific proposals under consideration to determine if unique circumstances exist which increase the likelihood of environmental significance; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Agriculture and Markets recommends that the County Legislative body serve as the Lead Agency to insure compliance with the requirement of the State Environmental Quality Review Act as it is the only other agency required to undertake an action except for the Department of Agriculture and Markets; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature declares itself to be the lead agency to insure compliance with the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature, as Lead Agency, hereby determines that the site-specific parcels contained in the proposed Agriculture District modifications will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment and that a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature, as lead agency, hereby accepts and adopts the Negative Declaration prepared in connection with the proposed Agriculture District modifications, a copy of which is annexed hereto and made a part hereof.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: EIGHT AYES. ONE NAY – LEGISLATOR MONTGOMERY. MOTION CARRIES.

Item #6h – Approval/ Inclusion of Parcels in Putnam County Agricultural District was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

Legislator Jonke made a motion to divide the question; seconded by Legislator Albano.

Legislative Counsel Firriolo stated under Rule 18, a request to divide the question is automatically granted, however the Legislator needs to state how the question is to be divided.

Legislator Jonke proposed taking the Southeast parcel, Pro Brothers Farm, and divide that from the other parcels in the resolution.

Legislative Counsel Firriolo clarified that the resolve clause in the first resolution would include the Town of Philipstown parcel and the resolve clause in the second resolution would include the Town of Southeast.

Legislator Jonke concurred.

Legislator Sayegh wanted clarification why the Valley View Farm / Cimarron Ranch parcel was on the resolution when it was not approved by the Physical Committee.

Legislative Counsel Firriolo explained that they are on the resolution because they submitted an application to the County, however, the resolve clause only includes the two (2) parcels, the towns of Philipstown and Southeast, to be moved forward for inclusion as recommended by the Agricultural Board.

Legislator Albano requested a vote on the resolution which includes the Town of Philipstown parcels into the Putnam County Agricultural District.

Legislator Montgomery explained that board members were not given the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses in order to clarify facts and opinions presented in their testimony. She stated that she wanted the public to understand her vote. She stated that she supports farming and all types of agriculture. She stated that her vote is because of the process. She believed that we did a disservice to the public by not having a good public hearing. She believed that another public hearing should be held to clarify all these questions.

Legislator Albano stated that there were not questions regarding the Town of Philipstown parcels.

Legislator Nacerino believed that the time for bringing up any questions or concerns was afforded during the Physical Services Committee meeting when this was discussed in depth. She stated that the liaison to the Agricultural Board, Lauri Taylor was present at that time as well. She stated that the public hearing, as cited by the Chairwoman, is the time to give public recognition to hear the concerns of the public. She believed that we made every concerted effort to do that. She explained that she did not hear any negative feedback that it wasn't afforded to the public after the public hearing. She explained that if the Legislators felt the same way Legislator Montgomery felt, the Town of Philipstown parcel would not be afforded consideration for another year. She asked Legislator Montgomery to consider weighing her options when she decides to vote no, as it would be a detriment to the farm in her town.

Legislator Montgomery stated that it was a detriment to the entire public to not allow them the opportunity to speak at a public hearing. She did not want other Legislators telling her when she can and cannot speak. She believed the Legislators should be able to make comments at a public hearing.

Legislator Nacerino stated that is not how we conduct our business or how we do it at the budget public hearing. She stated that it gives the Legislators the opportunity to hear the public's concerns. We do not engage in the dialog; which has been our past practice.

By Roll Call Vote on the Philipstown parcels (Horsemen's Trail Farm): Eight Ayes. One Nay – Legislator Montgomery. Motion Carries.

Legislator Jonke made a motion to table the Southeast parcel (Pro Brothers Farm) until no later than next month's Full Legislative meeting. He stated that according to the Agriculture & Markets Law he believed that we have until the August meeting to finalize this; seconded by Legislator Sullivan.

Legislative Firriolo clarified that on a motion to table there is no debate or discussion; there is to be an immediate vote.

By Roll Call Vote to table the Southeast parcel (Pro Brothers Farm): Five Ayes. Four Nays – Legislators Albano, Nacerino, Sayegh and Chairwoman Addonizio. Motion Carries.

(Original Resolution presented at meeting prior to motion to divide)

APPROVAL/INCLUSION OF PARCELS IN PUTNAM COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, by Resolution #81 of 2003, the Putnam County Legislature created an Agricultural District in the County of Putnam; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #193 of 2011, after the 8th year anniversary of the formation of the district, the Putnam County Legislature modified said Putnam County Agricultural District #1, and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #244 of 2003, the Putnam County Legislature established the month of November in which a landowner may request inclusion in the Putnam County Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #154 of 2015, the Putnam County Legislature changed the annual thirty-day inclusion request period, from the month of November to April 1st through April 30th, commencing in the year 2016 and each year thereafter; and

WHEREAS, November 19, 2019 marked the second 8-Year Anniversary of the formation of this district requiring the Putnam County Legislature to review this district and either continue, terminate or modify the district created; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #204 of 2019 the Putnam County Legislature determined that the Putnam County Agricultural District No. 1 remained the same in accordance with the recommendations of the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board to consist of 157 parcels with a total acreage of 5,113.9 acres; and

WHEREAS, requests were presented to the Putnam County Legislature to modify the existing Agricultural District in the County of Putnam by including the following parcels in the District:

Town of Philipstown:

Horsemen's Trail Farm (David Vickery) – Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.2 (6.77 acres) Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.3 (4.8 acres) Total Acreage: 11.57

Town of Putnam Valley:

Valley View Farm – Cimarron Ranch (Alexander Kaspar) – Tax Map #72.-1-47 (25.18 acres) Tax Map #72.-1-50 (113.10 Acres) Total Acreage: 138.28

Town of Southeast:

Pro Brothers Farm (Christian Provetto & Kirsten Banga) – Tax Map #47.-3-27 (1.3 acres) Total Acreage: 1.3

Total acreage in petitions: 151.15

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 25 AA of the Agriculture and Market Law, section 303-b, a public hearing on the requests was conducted by the Putnam County Legislature on July 7, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board considered the requests for inclusion and reported that the parcels for inclusion would serve the public interest by assisting in maintaining a viable agricultural industry within the District and recommended the inclusion of said parcels in the Putnam County Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Physical Services Committee of the Putnam County Legislature reviewed and approved the recommendations made by the Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature has considered the comments of the speakers at the public hearing, the recommendations of the Putnam County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board, the various letters in support and in opposition to the inclusion of these parcels in the modification of the Agricultural District; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature accepts and adopts the findings provided by the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature hereby includes in the Putnam County Agricultural District the following Tax Map identified parcels:

Town of Philipstown:

Horsemen's Trail Farm (David Vickery) – Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.2 (6.77 acres) Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.3 (4.8 acres) Total Acreage: 11.57

Town of Southeast:

Pro Brothers Farm (Christian Provetto & Kirsten Banga) – Tax Map #47.-3-27 (1.3 acres) Total Acreage: 1.3

For a Total of 12.87 acres.

*Copy of Applications on file in the Legislative Office for review.

RESOLUTION #117

APPROVAL / INCLUSION OF CERTAIN PARCELS IN PUTNAM COUNTY AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT

WHEREAS, by Resolution #81 of 2003, the Putnam County Legislature created an Agricultural District in the County of Putnam; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #193 of 2011, after the 8th year anniversary of the formation of the district, the Putnam County Legislature modified said Putnam County Agricultural District #1, and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #244 of 2003, the Putnam County Legislature established the month of November in which a landowner may request inclusion in the Putnam County Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #154 of 2015, the Putnam County Legislature changed the annual thirty-day inclusion request period, from the month of November to April 1st through April 30th, commencing in the year 2016 and each year thereafter; and

WHEREAS, November 19, 2019 marked the second 8-Year Anniversary of the formation of this district requiring the Putnam County Legislature to review this district and either continue, terminate or modify the district created; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution #204 of 2019 the Putnam County Legislature determined that the Putnam County Agricultural District No. 1 remained the same in accordance with the recommendations of the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board to consist of 157 parcels with a total acreage of 5,113.9 acres; and

WHEREAS, requests were presented to the Putnam County Legislature to modify the existing Agricultural District in the County of Putnam by including the following parcels in the District:

Town of Philipstown:

Horsemen's Trail Farm (David Vickery) – Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.2 (6.77 acres) Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.3 (4.8 acres) Total Acreage: 11.57

Town of Putnam Valley:

Valley View Farm – Cimarron Ranch (Alexander Kaspar) – Tax Map #72.-1-47 (25.18 acres) Tax Map #72.-1-50 (113.10 Acres) Total Acreage: 138.28

Town of Southeast:

Pro Brothers Farm (Christian Provetto & Kirsten Banga) – Tax Map #47.-3-27 (1.3 acres) Total Acreage: 1.3

Total acreage in petitions: 151.15

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 25 AA of the Agriculture and Market Law, section 303-b, a public hearing on the requests was conducted by the Putnam County Legislature on July 7, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board considered the requests for inclusion and reported that the parcels for inclusion would serve the public interest by assisting in maintaining a viable agricultural industry within the District and recommended the inclusion of said parcels in the Putnam County Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Physical Services Committee of the Putnam County Legislature reviewed and approved the recommendations made by the Putnam County Agriculture & Farmland Protection Board; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature has considered the comments of the speakers at the public hearing, the recommendations of the Putnam County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board, the various letters in support and in opposition to the inclusion of these parcels in the modification of the Agricultural District; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature accepts and adopts the findings provided by the Putnam County Agriculture and Farmland Protection Board with respect to the above-described parcels located in the Town of Philipstown and the Town of Putnam Valley; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature hereby includes in the Putnam County Agricultural District the following Tax Map identified parcels:

Town of Philipstown:

Horsemen's Trail Farm (David Vickery) – Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.2 (6.77 acres) Tax Map #16.12.-1-5.3 (4.8 acres) Total Acreage: 11.57

For a Total of 11.57 acres.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: EIGHT AYES. ONE NAY – LEGISLATOR MONTGOMERY. MOTION CARRIES.

Item #6i – Approval/ Budgetary Amendment (20A030)/ Planning Department/ Empire Trail Access Project was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

RESOLUTION #118

APPROVAL/ BUDGETARY AMENDMENT / PLANNING DEPARTMENT/ EMPIRE TRAIL ACCESS PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Planning & Development has requested a budgetary amendment (20A030) to adjust the Capital Budget to include funding for the Empire Trail Access Project PIN 8762.43 as per the Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) and allocate Federal Transit Administration (FTA) formula funds as of 6/15/20; and

WHEREAS, the Physical Services Committee and the Audit & Administration Committee have reviewed and approved said budgetary amendment; now therefore be it RESOLVED, that the following budgetary amendment be made:

CAPITAL FUND:

Increase Estimated Appropriations:

55997000 53000 52010	Empire Trail Access Pjct – PIN 8762.43	790,000
55997000 53000 51806	Section 5307 FFY 2018	271,564
55997000 53000 51906	Section 5307 FFY 2019	258,768
55997000 53000 52006	Section 5307 FFY 2020	<u>228,234</u>
		1,548,566

Increase Estimated Revenues:

55997000 445970 52010	Fed Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2018	632,000
55997000 435970 52010	State Aid – Section 53 07 FFY 2018	79,000
55997000 428601 52010	Transfer from General Fund	79,000
55997000 445970 51806	Fed Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2018	217,251
55997000 435970 51806	State Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2018	27,157
57997000 428601 51806	Transfer from General Fund	27,156
55997000 445970 51906	Fed Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2019	207,014
55997000 435970 51906	State Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2019	25,877
57997000 428601 51906	Transfer from General Fund	19,975
55997000 428601 51906	Transfer from General Fund	5,902
55997000 445970 52006	Fed Aid - Section 5307 FFY 2020	182,588
55997000 435970 52006	State Aid – Section 5307 FFY 2020	22,823
57997000 428601 52006	Transfer from General Fund	<u>22,823</u>
		1,548,566

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6j – Approval/ Budgetary Amendment (20A031)/ Highways & Facilities/ CHIPS 2020 was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

RESOLUTION #119

APPROVAL/ BUDGETARY AMENDMENT/ HIGHWAYS & FACILITIES/ CHIPS 2020

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Finance has requested a budgetary amendment (20A031) to amend the 2020 CHIPS Capital Project budget to equal the adopted NYS Budget; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to NYS Budget directives, this aid may be subject to a reduction of up to 20%; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Highways & Facilities has been authorized to plan for spending the entire amount, but authorized currently only to spend 80% of the total expected funding in order to accommodate this possible State Aid cut; and

WHEREAS, the Physical Services Committee and the Audit & Administration Committee have reviewed and approved said budgetary amendment; now therefore be it RESOLVED, that the following budgetary amendment be made:

Increase Estimated Appropriations:

55197000 53000 52003	CHIPS 2020	281,690
-----------------------------	-------------------	----------------

Increase Estimated Revenues:

55197000 435011 52003	State Aid – CHIPS 2020	281,690
------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6k – Approval/ Highways & Facilities/ Use of Capital Facility Reserve (20CP04) (Concrete Sidewalk & Stair Safety Repair Program) was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

Legislator Nacerino stated that this is money well spent to ensure safety and preventing liability issues.

RESOLUTION #120

APPROVAL/ HIGHWAYS & FACILITIES/ USE OF CAPITAL FACILITY RESERVE (20CP04) (Concrete Sidewalk & Stair Safety Repair Program)

WHEREAS, by Resolutions #55, #56 and #81 of 2020, the Putnam County Legislature approve the use of the Capital Project Reserve fund for projects; 20CP01, 20CP02 and 20CP03, for a total expenditure not to exceed \$254,000; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Highways & facilities has proposed the use of \$50,000 from the Capital Facility Reserve to fund Project #20CP04 – Concrete Sidewalk &

Stair Safety Repair Program, to allow for the continuation of said program last funded in 2013; and

WHEREAS, currently there are four (4) locations that have sidewalk and/or stair safety issues that can be addressed immediately: 121 Main Street, Kern Building, Adams Lot (area behind New Courthouse) and Highways & Facilities Bldg, with more to follow as further safety assessments are made; and

WHEREAS, the Physical Services Committee has reviewed and approved this request; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature approves and authorizes the expenditure of \$50,000 from the County Facility Reserve fund budget line 55197000 53000 51509 as follows:

20CP04 – Concrete Sidewalk & Stair Safety Repair Program

Project cost not to exceed \$50,000

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6L – Approval/ SEQRA Determination/ County Transit Facility Rehabilitation Project was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

RESOLUTION #121

APPROVAL/ SEQRA DETERMINATION/ COUNTY TRANSIT FACILITY REHABILITATION PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature is responsible for conducting all environmental reviews for the County of Putnam in accordance with the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning, Development, and Public Transportation is proposing to repair and renovate the County Transit Facility located at 841 Fair Street, Carmel, NY including, without limitation, garage door replacements; roof replacement; HVAC, plumbing, electrical, lighting and security upgrades; repaving of parking lot; sidewalk, fencing and signage placement/replacement; drainage improvements; and interior renovations; and

WHEREAS, the maintenance and repair work will not involve any additions or changes to the existing footprint of the building; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature has already approved the Transit Facility Rehabilitation Project funding per Resolutions #87 of 2018, #161 of 2018, #150 of 2019, #59 of 2020 and #105 of 2020; and

WHEREAS, this action has been determined to be a Type II Action in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617, §617.5(c)(1) “maintenance or repair involving no substantial changes in an existing structure or facility” and §617.5(c)(2) “replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site;” now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature accepts the determination that this project is a Type II Action and, pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act Part 617, §617.6(a)(1)(i), there is no further environmental review necessary.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6m – Approval/ SEQRA/ Negative Declaration/ Mill Street Culvert Rehabilitation was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

Legislator Gouldman explained that this is a very important and much needed project for Putnam Valley. He stated that it will be an inconvenience for the motorist in the community, however he is glad that it is being done.

RESOLUTION #122

APPROVAL/ SEQRA/ NEGATIVE DECLARATION/ MILL STREET CULVERT REHABILITATION

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature is considering the approval of a Putnam County Department of Highways and Facilities Project that involves the rehabilitation of an existing culvert in the Town of Putnam Valley along Mill Street (Putnam Route 23) crossing Shrub Oak Brook, a Class C stream, which existing culvert is deteriorating and requires rehabilitation. The proposed work includes removal of the existing culvert deck, pouring new concrete culvert abutments behind the existing abutments, and setting a new precast concrete culvert deck on the new abutments. Ancillary work includes asphalt pavement, overhead utility relocation, guide rails, and stream stabilization (rip-rap). The clear span of the existing culvert is 16.7 feet long and 21.3 feet wide with approximately 6.5 feet between the bottom of the deck and the stream channel bed. The proposed design will construct new abutments behind the existing abutments. The existing abutments will remain in place during and after construction thereby mitigating disturbance to the watercourse. The new culvert deck will be thinner increasing the height between the bottom of the deck and the channel bed to 7.5 feet. Flow through the culvert will not be changed by the construction. The road will be closed throughout the duration of construction and a detour posted; and

WHEREAS, the proposed action herein is subject to review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder (“SEQRA 6 NYCRR Part 617 *et seq*”); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution #82 of 2020, the Putnam County Legislature issued an Unlisted Action determination for the above referenced Project and declared its intent to serve as Lead Agency with respect to SEQRA; and

WHEREAS, a Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) was prepared for the Project; and

WHEREAS, the EAF and associated documentation was circulated to all involved and interested agencies for the requisite thirty (30) days with a Notice of the Putnam County Legislature’s Intent to Serve as Lead Agency and no objections were received therefor; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature, acting as Lead Agency, conducted a coordinated environmental review in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617, §617.6; and

WHEREAS, based upon a careful review of the action as a whole, the EAF, and the criteria set forth in 6 NYCRR Part 617, §617.7(c), it is determined that the proposed Project will not result in any potential significant adverse environmental impacts; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Putnam County Legislature, as Lead Agency, determines that the proposed Project will not have any potential significant adverse impacts and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617 *et seq*, the Putnam County Legislature, as Lead Agency, hereby accepts and adopts the Negative Declaration prepared in connection with the Mill Street Culvert Rehabilitation Project, a copy of which is annexed hereto and made a part hereof; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6n – Approval/ Agreement with Town of Carmel/ Use of Well #7 at Putnam County Golf Course for Airport Park Irrigation System was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

Legislator Nacerino believed this made sense on all fronts to partner with our towns to benefit the residents of Putnam County. She stated that this is a well that is not connected to any County facility or utilized for any County purpose. She stated to improve the recreation facilities for the outdoor sports fields by installing an irrigation system is a good thing and she was happy to support this resolution.

Legislator Albano stated that it is a beautiful park and he was happy that the County was able to put this agreement in place.

Legislator Sullivan concurred. He stated that it was a great project for the Town of Carmel and recreation for the youth organizations who will utilize the facility. He stated that the Town of Carmel has spent over \$2 million to make it into a beautiful soccer field. He was happy that the County and the town were able to work closely on this project to keep costs in check and to provide the town access to our well to water the field.

Legislator Sayegh echoed everyone’s positive comments. She stated that all the work the town has done on the recreational areas and the transformation that has taken place was absolutely beautiful. She appreciated the partnership between the Town of Carmel and the County.

Legislator Montgomery believed it was a great partnership with the Town of Carmel. She stated that creating playable recreational fields was great for our communities. She stated that her concern still was not having NYS DEP’s comment, review or permitting which she inquired about at the Physical Services Committee meeting. She stated that she didn’t get an answer from the DEP yet and she believed it was up to our attorneys, Chairman and Highway Department to get an answer from the DEP. She couldn’t imagine that the County did not need to inform the NYS DEP about this project when it is on a piece of property that was partially funded with East of Hudson monies for the very purpose of protecting properties inside of the watershed. She stated that it is about making sure our “i’s” are dotted and our “t’s” are crossed.

Legislator Albano believed that everything has been done correctly. He does not see any information to indicate that it wasn’t done correctly.

Legislator Montgomery questioned if Legislator Albano was confident the DEP review or approval was not needed.

Legislator Albano stated that he was confident that we did what needed to be done. He stated that Legislator Montgomery mentioned that there were other problems and other issues. He stated that he did not know what she was referring to. He stated if she knew of a problem, he questioned if she could give him an example of what she was referring to.

Legislator Montgomery stated that she was not making accusations, it was a question if we needed DEP approval.

Legislator Albano believed that our Highway Department, and the departments and individuals in charge of this project, have done what needs to be done.

Legislator Sullivan stated that he has full faith and confidence in our Highway Department and Law Department that they have reviewed everything to make sure the County is in full compliance.

Legislator Montgomery stated that she did not see anything in the backup material, so she is not confident that we did question this or received any answers from the DEP. She stated that we have seen situations in the past where we didn't get approval and it has created lots of public controversy and problems.

Legislator Albano stated that Legislator Montgomery has referred to things that weren't done properly. He requested more information on that from her. He believed that to make general accusations was misleading to the public. He explained that the information before us has been reviewed by our County Attorneys.

Legislator Sayegh stated that she did not understand where these accusations were coming from. She stated that she has never heard of anything where we would have to backtrack because we did not receive DEP approval. She stated that she has full confidence in the County Attorney, the entire Law Department, as well as the professionals in the Highways & Facilities Department and the engineers in the Planning Department. She explained that they understand the history of this property and this is nothing new to them. She was confident that we had all the approvals that were needed.

Legislator Montgomery believed there was a problem with a sewage permit on a lake in Mahopac. She believed the County was overseeing it and that it wasn't properly permitted.

Legislator Sayegh stated that it was an issue between a restaurant and the town in the sewer district.

Legislator Albano believed that Legislator Montgomery may have been misinformed. He stated that this is an existing well that will be used to water the ball fields on the adjacent Airport Park property.

Legislator Sullivan believed it was important during a Legislative meeting that we do not make comments that would mislead the public that the County is not following

procedures or protocols without presenting us with facts or examples. He believed those types of things are not good for any purpose.

Legislator Nacerino stated that we are voting tonight on the irrigation system. She stated that we are not changing the use of the land that it was initially purposed for. She believed that when we speak in generalities, we are lending confusion to the issue that DEP needs to be apprised because of the land use and what we are doing on the land. She stated that nothing has changed as far as the use. She stated that, as Legislator Albano stated, we are watering the lawn.

Legislator Montgomery stated that it was her experience in land use regulations, and overseeing land given by another entity or monies given to acquire land by another entity there is usually some clause that you need to inform that entity what you are doing on that property in perpetuity. She stated that it is just a question if we need DEP approval. She believed that the kids need a great field to play on. She believed it was a simple question that the Chair could pursue with the Highway Department. She stated that she did not see anything in the backup material from DEP. She stated that she is trying to make sure we are covered because sometimes things get overlooked. She believed it has happened over the course of time with different departments in the County. She stated that she is not accusing or making accusations. She stated that it is a Legislator trying to make sure our backs are covered with a project we are going to pursue and whether we need DEP approval or not.

Legislator Albano stated that the question was asked at the Physical Services Committee meeting and the County Attorney said "no".

Legislator Nacerino believed our Planning Department, Highway Department and Law Department were better versed in land use regulations. She believed we all feel very confident that they have checked all the boxes needed to move this forward.

RESOLUTION #123

APPROVAL/ AGREEMENT WITH TOWN OF CARMEL/ USE OF WELL # 7 AT PUTNAM COUNTY GOLF COURSE FOR AIRPORT PARK IRRIGATION SYSTEM

WHEREAS, the County is the fee owner of certain contiguous parcels of real property in the Town of Carmel, County of Putnam, and State of New York, which are designated as Town of Carmel Tax Map Numbers 64.6-1-22, 64.6-1-19, 64.9-1-22, 64.14-1-8, 64.18-1-1, 64.10-1-3 and 64.18-1-19 (hereinafter the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Golf Course is located on a portion of the Property; and

WHEREAS, by way of a Lease Agreement, dated December 30, 2005, the County leased a +/- 36 acre portion of the Property (located on Town of Carmel Tax Map Numbers 64.14-1-8 and 64.18-1-1) to the Town for permitted recreational activities (hereinafter the "Leased Premises"); and

WHEREAS, consistent with said Lease Agreement, the Town has undertaken the construction and maintenance of outdoor sports fields and other recreational improvements on the Leased Premises; and

WHEREAS, the Town is presently undertaking a related project, designated as the "Airport Park Phase III Irrigation Water Supply Project" (hereinafter the "Project"), wherein the Town is making certain further improvements to the Leased Premises, consisting of

the installation and maintenance of an irrigation system for those outdoor sports fields; and

WHEREAS, there is an existing water supply well, designated and described as well # 7, located on the Property outside of the Leased Premises; and

WHEREAS, well # 7 is neither connected to any County facility or presently utilized for any County purpose; and

WHEREAS, the Town has requested permission to utilize well #7, and to install and maintain required connections thereto, for the purpose of providing a water supply to said irrigation system located on the Leased Premises; and

WHEREAS, parties are desirous of entering into an Agreement concerning the foregoing, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Department of Highways and Facilities engineers have reviewed said Agreement, and have determined same to be acceptable; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Attorney has reviewed and approved said Agreement as to form; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that Putnam County Legislature hereby approves and authorizes the subject Agreement, which shall be in substantial conformance with the attached Exhibit "A"; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is hereby authorized to finalize and execute said Agreement on behalf of the County; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is further authorized to execute any other necessary documents necessary to carry out the purposes of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Attorney is authorized to take whatever legal action is necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: EIGHT AYES. ONE NAY – LEGISLATOR MONTGOMERY. MOTION CARRIES.

Item #6o – Approval/ Sale of County Property Pursuant to Chapter 31 of the Putnam County Code / Town of Carmel, 615 Route 6N, Mahopac Tax Map #75.6-2-64 was next. On behalf of the members of the Physical Services Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Nacerino, Legislator Albano moved the following:

RESOLUTION #124

APPROVAL/ SALE OF COUNTY PROPERTY PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 31 OF THE PUTNAM COUNTY CODE / TOWN OF CARMEL, 615 ROUTE 6N, MAHOPAC TAX MAP #75.6-2-64

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature previously authorized the County Executive and Commissioner of Finance to offer certain County owned properties, which were acquired by tax foreclosure proceeding pursuant to Article 11 of the New York State Real Property Tax Law, for sale through the applicable Multiple Listing Service utilizing the services of the licensed real estate brokers under contract with the County; and

WHEREAS, per Resolution # 274 of 2019, the Putnam County Legislature approved said properties to be listed at the initial offer amounts which were based upon the comparative market analyses performed by the real estate brokers for the properties, and

WHEREAS, said properties have been listed on the applicable Multiple Listing Service; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Administration has entered into negotiations with a prospective purchaser for the property identified as 615 Route 6N, Mahopac, New York (Carmel TM # 75.6-2-64); and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Administration recommends the acceptance of the negotiated amount of \$60,000.00, and as further specified in the Contract of Sale, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Schedule "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Putnam County Legislature has reviewed said negotiated amount, now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that pursuant to the authority vested in the Putnam County Legislature in Section 31-8 of the Putnam County Code, the Putnam County Legislature approves the sale of the property identified as 615 Route 6N, Mahopac, New York (Carmel TM # 75.6-2-64) for such amount and upon such other terms and conditions as are contained in the Contract of Sale, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Schedule "A"; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is authorized to execute the Contract of Sale for the property identified as 615 Route 6N, Mahopac, New York (Carmel TM # 75.6-2-64) which Contract of Sale shall be in the form attached hereto and made a part hereof as Schedule "A"; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Attorney is authorized to take all necessary steps to complete the transfer of said property in accordance with the terms and conditions of the aforementioned Contract of Sale; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is authorized to execute the deed and other required closing documents necessary to complete the transfer of said property.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**AUDIT & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
(Chairman Castellano, Legislators Gouldman & Sayegh)**

Item #6p – Approval/ Budgetary Amendment (20A032)/ Commissioner of Finance/ Adjust 2020 Budget Due to Economic Effects of COVID-19 Pandemic was next. Chairwoman Addonizio recognized Legislator Castellano, Chairman of the Audit & Administration Committee. On behalf of the members of the Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Sayegh, Legislator Castellano moved the following:

RESOLUTION #125

APPROVAL/ BUDGETARY AMENDMENT / COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE/ ADJUST 2020 BUDGET DUE TO ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Finance has requested a budgetary amendment (20A032) to adjust the 2020 County Budget due to the economic effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the Audit & Administration Committee has reviewed and approved said budgetary amendment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following budgetary amendment be made:

GENERAL FUND:

**Increase Estimated Appropriations:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET**

552,810

Decrease Estimated Appropriations:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 1,958,747

Increase Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 1,506,395

Decrease Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 2,912,332

CAPITAL FUND:

Decrease Estimated Appropriations:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 503,011

Increase Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 2,151,879

Decrease Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 2,654,890

TRANSPORTATION FUND:

Increase Estimated Appropriations:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 953,585

Decrease Estimated Appropriations:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 25,000

Increase Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 1,128,585

Decrease Estimated Revenues:
SEE ATTACHED SHEET 200,000

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6q – Approval/ Budgetary Amendment (20A033) /Commissioner of Finance/ Reduced Medicaid Local Share Payment/ Offset Sales Tax Revenue Deficit due to COVID-19 Pandemic was next. On behalf of the members of the Audit & Administration Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Sayegh, Legislator Castellano moved the following:

Legislator Sayegh stated that this is enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (eFMAP) funding. She explained that it is the Medicaid money being reimbursed by the Federal Government back to the State who has than shared a portion with the counties to offset the costs of this pandemic.

Legislator Nacerino stated that it was good to see this money coming to offer the County some relief.

RESOLUTION #126

APPROVAL/ BUDGETARY AMENDMENT /COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE/ REDUCED MEDICAID LOCAL SHARE PAYMENT/ OFFSET SALES TAX REVENUE DEFICIT DUE TO COVID-19 PANDEMIC

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Finance has requested a budgetary amendment (20A033) to amend the 2020 MMIS Medicaid budget to account for the reduced Medicaid local share payment per the New York State Department of Health; and

WHEREAS, these savings are being used to offset the sales tax revenue deficit caused by the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the Audit & Administration Committee has reviewed and approved said budgetary amendment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following budgetary amendment be made:

Decrease Estimated Appropriations:

10610000 54950	MMIS – Medicaid	1,232,166
-----------------------	------------------------	------------------

Decrease Estimated Revenues:

10131000 411100	Sales & Use Tax	1,232,166
------------------------	----------------------------	------------------

2020 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

2021 Fiscal Impact – 0 –

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #6r – Approval/ Refunding Bond Resolution/ Not to Exceed \$3,300,000 was next. On behalf of the members of the Audit & Administration Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Sayegh, Legislator Castellano moved the following:

Legislator Castellano explained that this will give the County the opportunity to refinance our bonds to lower our debt and save some money. He stated that he is thankful for the County’s excellent credit rating which allows us to refinance these bonds.

Legislator Sayegh stated that because Putnam County has an excellent bond rating, we are able to refinance. She explained that, as Finance Commissioner Carlin stated, its no different than refinancing your house for a better interest rate. She explained that it gives us the opportunity to save taxpayer funds.

RESOLUTION #127

**Meeting of the County Legislature of
the County of Putnam, New York**

July 7, 2020

* * *

A regular meeting of the County Legislature of the County of Putnam, New York, was held on July 7, 2020, at 7 o'clock P.M. (Prevailing Time).

The following Legislators were present: Albano, Castellano, Gouldman, Jonke, Montgomery, Nacerino, Sayegh, Sullivan and Chairwoman Addonizio.

There were absent: None

Also present: Diane Schonfeld, Clerk of the County Legislature
Robert Firriolo, Legislative Counsel

* * *

Legislator Castellano offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

REFUNDING BOND RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF PUTNAM, NEW YORK, ADOPTED JULY 7, 2020, AUTHORIZING THE REFUNDING OF CERTAIN OUTSTANDING SERIAL BONDS OF SAID COUNTY, STATING THE PLAN OF REFUNDING, APPROPRIATING AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000 FOR SUCH PURPOSE, AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000 REFUNDING BONDS TO FINANCE SAID APPROPRIATION, AND MAKING CERTAIN OTHER DETERMINATIONS RELATIVE THERETO

Recitals

WHEREAS, on November 21, 2012, the County of Putnam, New York (herein called the "County"), issued its \$6,446,535 Public Improvement (Serial) Bonds, 2012, currently outstanding in the principal amount of \$3,680,000 (the "Outstanding Bonds"); and were originally issued pursuant to various bond resolutions duly adopted to finance the purposes set forth in Exhibit B; and

WHEREAS, the Outstanding Bonds mature on November 15 in the years and in the principal amounts and bear interest payable semiannually on May 15 and November 15 in each year to maturity, as follows:

<u>Year of Maturity</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Year of Maturity</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>
2020	\$ 495,000	2.00%	2024	\$ 535,000	2-1/8%
2021	505,000	2.00	2025	545,000	2.25
2022	515,000	2.00	2026	560,000	2-3/8
2023	525,000	2.00			

WHEREAS, the Outstanding Bonds maturing on and after November 15, 2021 are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the County, on November 15, 2020, and any date thereafter, as a whole or in part, at par, plus accrued interest to the date of redemption; and

WHEREAS, Sections 90.00 and 90.10 of the Local Finance Law, constituting Chapter 33-a of the Consolidated Laws of the State of New York (herein called the "Law"), permit the County to refund all or a portion of the outstanding unredeemed maturities of the Outstanding Bonds by the issuance of new bonds, the issuance of which will result in present value debt service savings for the County, and the County Legislature has determined that it may be advantageous to refund all or a portion of the Outstanding Bonds;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED BY THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF PUTNAM, NEW YORK, (by the favorable vote of not less than two-thirds of all the members of said County Legislature) AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. In this resolution, the following definitions apply, unless a different meaning clearly appears from the context:

- (a) "Bond To Be Refunded" or "Bonds To Be Refunded" means all or a portion of the Outstanding Bonds, as shall be determined in accordance with Section 8 hereof.
- (b) "Escrow Contract" means the contract to be entered into by and between the County and the Escrow Holder pursuant to Section 10 hereof.
- (c) "Escrow Holder" means the bank or trust company designated as such pursuant to Section 10 hereof.
- (d) "Outstanding Bonds" shall mean the Outstanding Bonds referred to in the Recitals hereof.
- (e) "Present Value Savings" means the dollar savings which result from the issuance of the Refunding Bonds computed by discounting the principal and interest payments on both the Refunding Bonds and the Bonds To Be Refunded from the respective maturities thereof to the date of issue of the Refunding Bonds at a rate equal to the effective interest cost of the Refunding Bonds. The effective interest cost of the Refunding Bonds shall be that rate which is arrived at by doubling the semi-annual interest rate (compounded semi-annually) necessary to discount the debt service payments on the Refunding Bonds from the maturity dates thereof to the date of issue of the Refunding Bonds and to the agreed upon price including estimated accrued interest.
- (f) "Redemption Date" or "Redemption Dates" means November 15, 2020, and any date thereafter with respect to the Outstanding Bonds maturing on and after November 15, 2021.
- (g) "Refunding Bond" or "Refunding Bonds" means all or a portion of the \$3,300,000 Refunding Serial Bonds of the County of Putnam, New York, authorized pursuant to Section 2 hereof.

(h) “Refunding Bond Amount Limitation” means an amount of Refunding Bonds which does not exceed the principal amount of Bonds To Be Refunded plus the aggregate amount of unmatured interest payable on such Bonds To Be Refunded, to and including the applicable Redemption Date, plus redemption premiums payable on such Bonds To Be Refunded as of such Redemption Date, as hereinabove referred to in the Recitals hereof, plus costs and expenses incidental to the issuance of the Refunding Bonds including the development of the refunding financial plan, and of executing and performing the terms and conditions of the Escrow Contract and all fees and charges of the Escrow Holder as referred to in Section 10 hereof.

Section 2. The County Legislature of the County hereby authorizes the refunding of the Bonds To Be Refunded, and appropriates an amount not to exceed \$3,300,000 to accomplish such refunding. The plan of financing said appropriation includes the issuance of not to exceed \$3,300,000 Refunding Bonds and the levy and collection of a tax upon all the taxable real property within the County to pay the principal of and interest on said Refunding Bonds as the same shall become due and payable. Bonds of the County in the maximum principal amount of \$3,300,000 are hereby authorized to be issued pursuant to the provisions of the Law. The proposed financial plan for the refunding in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (the “Refunding Financial Plan”) prepared for the County by Roosevelt & Cross, Inc., New York, New York, and hereby accepted and approved, includes the deposit of all the proceeds of said Refunding Bonds with an Escrow Holder pursuant to an Escrow Contract as authorized in Section 10 hereof, the payment of all costs incurred by the County in connection with said refunding from such proceeds and the investment of a portion of such proceeds by the Escrow Holder in certain obligations. The principal of and interest on such investments, together with the balance of such proceeds to be held uninvested, if any, shall be sufficient to pay (i) the principal of and interest on the Bonds To Be Refunded, if any, becoming due and payable on and prior to each applicable Redemption Date and (ii) the principal of and premium on the Bonds To Be Refunded which are to be called for redemption prior to maturity on any such Redemption Date.

Section 3. The Bonds To Be Refunded referred to in Section 1 hereof are all or a portion of the unmatured aggregate outstanding balances of the Outstanding Bonds issued pursuant to various bond resolutions of the County duly adopted by the County Legislature of the County on their respective dates, authorizing the issuance of bonds of the County for various purposes in and for the County. In accordance with the refunding financial plan, the Refunding Bonds authorized in the aggregate principal amount of not to exceed \$3,300,000 shall mature in amounts and at dates to be determined. The Commissioner of Finance, the chief fiscal officer of the County, is hereby authorized to approve all details of the refunding financial plan not contained herein.

Section 4. The issuance of the Refunding Bonds will not exceed the Refunding Bond Amount Limitation. The Refunding Bonds shall mature not later than the maximum period of probable usefulness (“PPU”) permitted by law at the time of original issuance of the Bonds to be Refunded, as set forth in Exhibit B annexed hereto and hereby made a part hereof, for the objects or purposes financed with the proceeds of the Bonds to be Refunded, commencing at the date of issuance of the first bond or bond anticipation note issued in anticipation of the sale of such bonds.

Section 5. The aggregate amount of estimated Present Value Savings is set forth in the proposed refunding financial plan attached hereto as Exhibit A, computed in accordance with subdivision two of paragraph b of Section 90.10 of the Law. Said refunding financial plan has been prepared based upon the assumption that the Refunding

Bonds will be issued in the aggregate principal amount, and will mature, be of such terms and bear such interest as set forth therein. The County Legislature recognizes that the principal amount of the Refunding Bonds, the maturities, terms and interest rates, the provisions, if any, for the redemption thereof prior to maturity, and whether or not any or all of the Refunding Bonds will be insured, and the resulting present value savings, may vary from such assumptions and that the refunding financial plan may vary from that attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Section 6. (a) The Refunding Bonds may be sold at public or private sale.

(i) If the Refunding Bonds are sold at private sale, the Commissioner of Finance is hereby authorized to execute a purchase contract on behalf of the County for the sale of said Refunding Bonds, provided that the terms and conditions of such sale shall be approved, to the extent as may be required, by the State Comptroller.

(ii) If the Refunding Bonds are sold at public sale pursuant to Section 57.00 of the Law, the Commissioner of Finance is hereby authorized and directed to prepare or have prepared a Notice of Sale, which shall be published at least once in "*The Bond Buyer*," published in the City of New York, not less than five (5) nor more than thirty (30) days prior to the date of said sale. A copy of such notice shall be sent not less than eight (8) nor more than thirty (30) days prior to the date of said sale (a) to the State Comptroller, Albany, New York 12236; (b) to at least two (2) banks or trust companies having a place of business in the County in which the County is located, or, if only one (1) bank is located in such County, then to such bank and to at least two (2) banks or trust companies having a place of business in an adjoining County; and (c) to "The Bond Buyer", 1 State Street Plaza, New York, New York 10004; and (d) at least ten (10) bond dealers.

(b) Prior to the issuance of the Refunding Bonds the Commissioner of Finance shall file with the County Legislature all requisite certifications, including a certificate approved by the State Comptroller setting forth the Present Value Savings to the County resulting from the issuance of the Refunding Bonds. In connection with the sale of Refunding Bonds, the County authorizes the preparation of an Official Statement and approves its use in connection with such sale, and further consents to the distribution of a Preliminary Official Statement prior to the date said Official Statement is distributed. The Commissioner of Finance and his designees are hereby further authorized and directed to take any and all actions necessary to accomplish said refunding, and to execute any contracts and agreements for the purchase of and payment for services rendered or to be rendered to the County in connection with said refunding, including the preparation of the Refunding Financial Plan..

Section 7. Each of the Refunding Bonds authorized by this resolution shall contain the recital of validity prescribed by Section 52.00 of the Law and said Refunding Bonds shall be general obligations of the County, payable as to both principal and interest by a general tax upon all the taxable real property within the County. The faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of the principal of and interest on said Refunding Bonds and provision shall be made annually in the budget of the County for (a) the amortization and redemption of the Refunding Bonds to mature in such year and (b) the payment of interest to be due and payable in such year.

Section 8. Subject to the provisions of this resolution and of the Law and Section 21.00 of the Law relative to the authorization of the issuance of bonds with substantially level or declining annual debt service and Sections 50.00, 56.00 to 60.00, 90.10 and 168.00 of the Law, the powers and duties of the County Legislature relative to determining the amount of Bonds To Be Refunded, prescribing the terms, form and contents and as to the sale and issuance of the Refunding Bonds, and executing any arbitrage certification relative thereto, and as to executing the Escrow Contract described herein, the Official Statement referred to in herein and any contracts for credit

enhancements in connection with the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and any other certificates and agreements, and as to making elections to call in and redeem all or a portion of the Bonds to be Refunded, are hereby delegated to the Commissioner of Finance, the chief fiscal officer of the County.

Section 9. The validity of the Refunding Bonds authorized by this resolution may be contested only if:

- (a) such obligations are authorized for an object or purpose for which the County is not authorized to expend money, or
- (b) the provisions of law which should be complied with at the date of the publication of such resolution, or a summary thereof, are not substantially complied with,

and an action, suit or proceeding contesting such validity is commenced within twenty days after the date of such publication, or

- (c) such obligations are authorized in violation of the provisions of the constitution.

Section 10. Prior to the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, the County shall contract with a bank or trust company located and authorized to do business in New York State, for the purpose of having such bank or trust company act as the Escrow Holder of the proceeds, inclusive of any premium from the sale of the Refunding Bonds, together with all income derived from the investment of such proceeds. Such Escrow Contract shall contain such terms and conditions as shall be necessary in order to accomplish the refunding financial plan, including provisions authorizing the Escrow Holder, without further authorization or direction from the County, except as otherwise provided therein, (a) to make all required payments of principal, interest and redemption premiums to the appropriate paying agent with respect to the Bonds To Be Refunded, (b) to pay costs and expenses incidental to the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, including the development of the refunding financial plan, and costs and expenses relating to the execution and performance of the terms and conditions of the Escrow Contract and all of its fees and charges as the Escrow Holder, (c) at the appropriate time or times to cause to be given on behalf of the County the notice of redemption authorized to be given pursuant to Section 13 hereof, and (d) to invest the monies held by it consistent with the provisions of the refunding financial plan. The Escrow Contract shall be irrevocable and shall constitute a covenant with the holders of the Refunding Bonds.

Section 11. The proceeds, inclusive of any premium, from the sale of the Refunding Bonds, immediately upon receipt shall be placed in escrow by the County with the Escrow Holder in accordance with the Escrow Contract. All moneys held by the Escrow Holder, if invested, shall be invested only in direct obligations of the United States of America or in obligations the principal of and interest on which are unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America, which obligations shall mature or be subject to redemption at the option of the holder thereof not later than the respective dates when such moneys will be required to make payments in accordance with the refunding financial plan. Any such moneys remaining in the custody of the Escrow Holder after the full execution of the Escrow Contract shall be returned to the County and shall be applied by the County only to the payment of the principal of or interest on the Refunding Bonds then outstanding.

Section 12. That portion of such proceeds from the sale of the Refunding Bonds, together with interest earned thereon, which shall be required for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds To Be Refunded, including any redemption premiums, in accordance with the refunding financial plan, shall be irrevocably committed and pledged to such purpose and the holders of the Bonds To Be Refunded shall have a lien upon such moneys and the investments thereof held by the Escrow Holder. All interest

earned from the investment of such moneys which is not required for such payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds To Be Refunded shall be irrevocably committed and pledged to the payment of the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds, or such portion or series thereof as shall be required by the refunding financial plan, and the holders of such Refunding Bonds shall have a lien upon such moneys held by the Escrow Holder. The pledges and liens provided for herein shall become valid and binding upon the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and the moneys and investments held by the Escrow Holder shall immediately be subject thereto without any further act. Such pledges and liens shall be valid and binding against all parties having claims of any kind in tort, contract or otherwise against the County irrespective of whether such parties have notice thereof. Neither this resolution, the Escrow Contract, nor any other instrument relating to such pledges and liens, need be filed or recorded.

Section 13. In accordance with the provisions of Section 53.00 and of paragraph h of Section 90.10 of the Law, the County Legislature hereby elects to call in and redeem all or a portion of the Bonds To Be Refunded which are subject to prior redemption according to their terms on the Redemption Date, as shall be determined by the Commissioner of Finance in accordance with Section 8 hereof. The sum to be paid therefor on the applicable Redemption Date shall be the par value thereof, the accrued interest to such Redemption Date and the redemption premiums, if any. The Escrow Holder is hereby authorized and directed to cause a notice of such call for redemption to be given in the name of the County by mailing such notice at least thirty days prior to such Redemption Date, and in accordance with the terms appearing in the Bonds to be Refunded, to the registered holders of the Bonds To Be Refunded which are to be called in and redeemed. Upon the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, the election to call in and redeem the Bonds To Be Refunded which are to be called in and redeemed in accordance herewith and the direction to the Escrow Holder to cause notice thereof to be given as provided in this Section shall become irrevocable and the provisions of this Section shall constitute a covenant with the holders, from time to time, of the Refunding Bonds, provided that this Section may be amended from time to time as may be necessary to comply with the publication requirements of paragraph a of Section 53.00 of the Law, as the same may be amended from time to time.

Section 14. This bond resolution shall take effect immediately upon approval by the County Executive, and the Clerk of the Legislature is hereby authorized and directed to publish the foregoing resolution, in summary, together with a Notice attached in substantially the form prescribed by Section 81.00 of the Law in the official newspapers of the County.

The adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

AYES: NINE – Legislators Albano, Castellano, Gouldman, Jonke, Montgomery, Nacerino, Sayegh, Sullivan and Chairwoman Addonizio.

NOES: NONE

The resolution was declared adopted.

EXHIBIT A
PROPOSED REFUNDING FINANCIAL PLAN

EXHIBIT B

Outstanding Bonds	
<u>Purpose</u>	<u>PPU</u>
Parking Structure Rehab	25
Minor Renovations	5
Carmel Revitalization 2	15
Carmel Revitalization 2	10
Para-Bus	5
County Dam Engineering/Asses	5
DSS Boiler	10
Buses	5
Underground Storage Tanks	15
Infrastructure	15
Boiler Replacement	10
Highway Snow Trucks	15
Bikeway Maybrook 2	15
New Freedom Grant Match	10

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

I, Diane Schonfeld, Clerk of the Putnam County Legislature, in the State of New York, HEREBY CERTIFY that Bond Resolution No. ____ - 2020 contained in the foregoing annexed extract from the minutes of a meeting of the County Legislature of the County of Putnam duly called and held on July 7, 2020, has been compared by me with the

original minutes as officially recorded in my office in the Minute Book of said County Legislature and is a true, complete and correct copy thereof and of the whole of said original Bond Resolution, which was duly adopted by the County Legislature on July 7, 2020, and approved by the County Executive on July ____, 2020.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the corporate seal of said County Legislature on this ____ day of July, 2020.

(SEAL)

Diane Schonfeld
Clerk of the Legislature

LEGAL NOTICE

The resolution, a summary of which is published herewith, has been adopted on July 7, 2020, and the validity of the obligations authorized by such resolution may be hereafter contested only if such obligations were authorized for an object or purpose for which the County of Putnam, New York, is not authorized to expend money or if the provisions of law which should have been complied with as of the date of publication of this Notice were not substantially complied with, and an action, suit or proceeding contesting such validity is commenced within twenty days after the publication of this Notice, or such obligations were authorized in violation of the provisions of the constitution.

REFUNDING BOND RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF PUTNAM, NEW YORK, ADOPTED JULY 7, 2020, AUTHORIZING THE REFUNDING OF CERTAIN OUTSTANDING SERIAL BONDS OF SAID COUNTY, STATING THE PLAN OF REFUNDING, APPROPRIATING AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000 FOR SUCH PURPOSE, AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$3,300,000 REFUNDING BONDS TO FINANCE SAID APPROPRIATION, AND MAKING CERTAIN OTHER DETERMINATIONS RELATIVE THERETO.

Object or purpose: refunding of all or a portion of currently outstanding Bonds of the County issued in 2012.

Period of probable usefulness: various between five (5) and twenty-five (25) years, commencing on the date of original issuance of the first note or bond issued for the purposes for which the outstanding bonds were issued.

Amount of obligations

to be issued: not to exceed \$3,300,000

A complete copy of the refunding bond resolution summarized above shall be available for public inspection during normal business hours at the office of the Clerk of the Putnam County Legislature, 40 Gleneida Avenue, Carmel, New York

Dated: July 7, 2020
Carmel, New York

Item #6s – Approval/ Authorizing the Filing of an Application for a State Assistance from the Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) State Assistance Program and Signing of the Associated State Master Grant Contract, Under the Appropriate Laws of New York State was next. On behalf of the members of the Audit & Administration Committee, Legislators Gouldman and Sayegh, Legislator Castellano moved the following:

Legislator Gouldman stated that this is a wonderful program. It keeps dangerous substances out of our streams, rivers and lakes.

Chairwoman Addonizio stated that she hoped the County would receive some State assistance because this program is essential to our community.

RESOLUTION #128

APPROVAL/ AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A STATE ASSISTANCE FROM THE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE (HHW) STATE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND SIGNING OF THE ASSOCIATED STATE MASTER GRANT CONTRACT, UNDER THE APPROPRIATE LAWS OF NEW YORK STATE

WHEREAS, the State of New York provides financial aid for household hazardous waste programs; and

WHEREAS, Putnam County, herein called the MUNICIPALITY, has examined and duly considered the applicable laws of the State of New York and the MUNICIPALITY deems it to be in the public interest and benefit to file an application under these laws; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that a Contract by and between THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, herein called the STATE, and the MUNICIPALITY be executed for such STATE Aid;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Putnam County Legislature

1. That the filing of an application in the form required by the State of New York in conformity with the applicable laws of the State of New York including all understanding and assurances contained in said application is hereby authorized.
2. That the Commissioner of Health or his/her designee is directed and authorized as the official representative of the MUNICIPALITY to act in connection with the application, to sign the resulting contract if said application is approved by the STATE; and to provide such additional information as may be required.

3. That the MUNICIPALITY agrees that it will fund the entire cost of said household hazardous waste program and will be reimbursed by the State for share of such costs as indicated in the contract.
4. That two (2) certified copies of this Resolution be prepared and sent to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation together with a complete application.
5. That this resolution shall take effect immediately.

BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Item #7 – Other Business

There was no other business submitted to the meeting.

Item #8 – Recognition of Public on Agenda Items (If Temporary Emergency Order is not extended)

The Governor extended the Emergency Order until August 5, 2020.

Item #9 - Recognition of Legislators

Legislator Gouldman hoped everyone was enjoying their summer. He stated that today Putnam County has gone into Phase 4 of reopening. He stated that we must do this in the safest way possible. He stated that Putnam County has an abundance of natural bodies of water for all of us to take advantage of. He stated that, unfortunately, there recently was a fatal drowning accident at one (1) of our lakes. He urged everyone to take the necessary precautions while using the waters to ensure they have a safe summer in Putnam County.

Legislator Sullivan stated that Putnam County has come a long way in the last several months. He thanked all the County employees and departments involved in helping to get our COVID-19 numbers down to where they are now with zero active cases and zero hospitalizations. He stated that this is a tremendous milestone and we should be proud of everyone who has helped us get here. He reminded everyone to be mindful of social distancing as they go out and enjoy the beautiful weather and visit our local restaurants and businesses.

Legislator Sayegh stated that she lost her uncle this week who was a Marine who fought in the Vietnam War. She wanted to take this moment to honor all our Veterans and thank them all for their service and to honor the memory of those who passed away. She stated that she would also like to thank law enforcement for their services and their sacrifices to ensure our freedom and right to pursue happiness. She stated that her uncle, at age 72, wanted to be buried in his dress Marine uniform. She also mentioned other members of her family who had served in the military. She stated that in light of our July 4th festivities she wanted to thank all those who have served this country.

Chairwoman Addonizio stated that today we officially moved into Phase 4. She stated that the original plan had included health clubs and movie theaters. Unfortunately, those local businesses are not included in Phase 4. She stated that many individuals were disappointed with this decision. She stated that it is up to Governor Cuomo as to when

they can open and it is not in our purview, as some people do believe. She stated that the COVID-19 created food insecurity for many people in Putnam County. She stated that the Putnam County partnership with United Way has helped our County tremendously by providing food. She stated that she was still providing weekly cooked meals to those who have been affected by this pandemic. She stated that her opportunity to partner with Second Chance Foods has helped many people in our community. She stated that we have faced many challenges, but we have made progress. She stated that we must stay vigilant and adhere to preventative measures. She stated for everyone to enjoy the rest of the summer.

There being no further business, at 8:15 P.M., Chairwoman Addonizio made a motion to adjourn; seconded by Legislator Albano. All in favor.

Respectfully submitted by Diane Schonfeld, Clerk.